

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/876,702 | KESHAVARAJ, RAMESH |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ms. Arti Singh | 1771 |

All Participants:

(1) Ms. Arti Singh.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Charlotte Wilson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2005

Time: 12 noon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: upon updating the search Application 10/152953 and USPN's 6315324, 6733037 and 6796583 all had claims which would render a Double Patenting Rejection, thus in order to expedite prosecution Applicant was called and agreed to submitted Terminal Disclaimers over the aforesaid references..